Current Appraisal Issues May 26, 2010

- Market Conditions
- TLE's
- Assessed Value of Improvements ≠ Value
- Mandatory Appraiser Licensing Update
- Other

Market Conditions in an Inactive, Down Market

- Market Evidence
 - Lack of sales ≠ no market evidence
 - Market evidence from economic evidence
 - The Great Recession
 - Officially began in December 2007
 - Real estate market began feeling in the summer of 2008
 - residential lot sales were very slow
 - commercial sales slowed down
 - leasing activity slow
 - Job losses
 - Unemployment rate doubled

Market Conditions

- Banking industry crisis
- Government bailouts across numerous business sectors
- Bankruptcy levels increasing
- Foreclosure levels increasing
- Newspaper Articles

Housing market won't recover until 2011 Prices are probably at or near bottom. The home-price news is subjecting for the prices are probably at or near bottom. The home-price news is subjecting for the prices are probably at or near bottom.

Market Conditions

- Cap rates have increased significantly from record lows!
- Cap rate increases = value decreases
- Examples

Shopping Center Cap Rates

		zation Rate (
National Strip	Shopping Ce	enter Market, I	Non-Institut	ional Grade
Time Period Q4, 2009	Indicated OAR 11.31%	Change in BPS ⁽¹⁾ 169	Value of \$1 NOI \$8.84	% Change in Value (1) -15.00%
Q2, 2009	9.62%	26	\$10.40	-2.60%
Q4, 2008	9.36%	59	\$10.68	-6.30%
Q2, 2008	8.77%	12	\$11.40	-1.40%
Q4, 2007	8.65%	15	\$11.56	-1.70%
Q2, 2007	8.50%	-	\$11.76	- \
Total Chang	e in Value Q	14, 2008 vs. Q	4, 2009	-17.60%
Total Chang	e in Value Q	14, 2007 vs. Q	4, 2009	-25.30%
(1) Over previously Source: PriceWate		od		

5

Office Cap Rates

(talization Ra tional Office N	te Compariso Market	ons
Time Period	OAR, Institutional	% Change in Value (1)	OAR, Non- Institutional	% Change in Value ⁽¹⁾
Q3, 2009	8.72%	-5.50%	10.15%	-5.90%
Q2, 2009	8.24%	-0.80%	9.55%	-0.30%
Q1, 2009	8.17%	-10.10%	9.52%	-10.60%
Q3, 2008	7.34%	-2.90%	8.51%	-1.50%
Q1, 2008	7.13%	1.60%	8.38%	4.70%
Q3, 2007	7.24%	N/Ap	8.78%	N/Ap
Total Ch	ange in Valu	ie Q3, 2008 v	/s. Q3, 2009	-16.80%
Total Ch	ange in Valu	ue Q3, 2007 v	/s. Q3, 2009	-13.60%
. ,	riously listed time WaterhouseCoo			

Industrial Flex/R&D Market Cap Rates

Ove	erall Cap Rat		ons
	National Flex/	Rad Market	
Time Period	Institutional	Change in BPS (1)	% Change in Value (1)
Q1, 2010	9.36%	22	-2.40%
Q4, 2009	9.14%	78	-8.50%
Q2, 2009	8.36%	60	-7.20%
Q4, 2008	7.76%	8	-1.00%
Q2, 2008	7.68%		- \
Total Chang Q2, 2009	ge in Value vs. Q1, 2010		-18.10%
Q2, 2008	vs. Q1, 2010		-19.10%
(1) Over previously	y listed time perio	d	
Source: PriceWate	rhouseCoopers		

7

Land Market

- Lack of Recent Sales, What to do?
 - Development Feasibility Horizon
 - When will it become economically to develop again?
 - Application of the principles of opportunity cost and the time value of money
 - Adjustment Matrix
 - 8%to 12% annual rate of return
 - 2- to 4-year development time horizon
 - Indicated Adjustment = -14% to -36%

Land Market

Market Conditions Adjustment Calculation

-/-/		Projected	Years to Dev	elopment
		2 yrs	3 yrs	4 yrs
-/-	8.0%	-14%	-21%	-26%
Rate of	9.0%	-16%	-23%	-29%
Return	10.0%	-17%	-25%	-32%
/ /	11.0%	-19%	-27%	-34%
	12.0%	-20%	-29%	-36%

9

Market Conditions Paired Sales, Residential Acreage

1	Paired S	ales Analysis,	Residential/Agr	icultural Land	
	Oala Oassassahla	Comp 1 Vacant Land -	Comp 2 Vacant Land -	Comp 3	Comp 4 Vacant Land
	Sale Comparable Address	Future Res. Subdivision	Future Res. Subdivision	Prestige Estates Expansion Land	Future Res. Subdivision
	Municipality	Howards Grove	Herman	Herman	Herman
7	Sale Price Acres Sale Price/ Acre Sale Date	\$145,000 10.85 \$13,364 Oct-09	\$311,700 16.56 \$18,822 Apr-08	\$78,785 6.31 \$12,486 Jun-07	\$597,000 45.91 \$13,004 Apr-07
	Years Between Sales		1.50	2.30	2.50
	Adjustments (1) Property Rights Conveyed Overall Location Zoning/Density/H&BU Development Timeframe Size Shape/Topography %Wetlands/Floodplain		10%	10%	10% 15%
	Utilities Adjusted Sale Price/Acre	\$13,364	\$20,704	\$13,735	\$16,255
	Indicated Market Conditions	Adjustment	-35%	-3%	-18%
	(1) As compared to Comparable 1				

Market Conditions Paired Sales, Grocery Stores #1

	Paire	d Sales Analys	sis - Market Conditions		
Sales Pair #1	Comp 1 Madison Copps	Comp 3 Appleton Piggly Wiggly	Sales Pair #2	Comp 1 Madison Copps	Comp 4 Waterford Pick 'n Save
Sale Price Transactional Adjustments Adjusted Sale Price Store Sq. Ft. Sale Price/ Sq. Ft. Sale Date Years Between Sales	\$5,238,000 \$0 \$5,238,000 72,743 \$72.01 Nov-09	\$3,937,000 \$0 \$3,937,000 30,897 \$127.42 May-08	Sale Price Transactional Adjustments (1) Adjusted Sale Price Store Sq. Ft. Sale Price/ Sq. Ft. Sale Date Years Between Sales	\$5,238,000 \$0 \$5,238,000 72,743 \$72.01 Nov-09	\$3,800,000 \$190,000 \$3,990,000 42,856 \$93.10 Apr-08
Adjustments Overall Location Building Age/Condition Building Size Adjusted Sale Price/Sq. Ft. Indicated Downward Adjustment	\$72.01 t -29%	-20% \$101.94	Adjustments Overall Location Building Age/Condition Building Size Adjusted Sale Price/Sq. Ft. Indicated Downward Adjustment (1) Comp 4 adjusted upward 5% for flat-ri	\$72.01 -26% ate lease	15% -10% \$97.76

11

Market Conditions Paired Sales, Grocery Stores #2

	Paired	Sales Analy	sis - Market Conditions		
Sales Pair #3	Comp 2 Menasha Piggly Wiggly	Comp 3 Appleton Piggly Wiggly	Sales Pair #4	Comp 2 Menasha Piggly Wiggly	Comp 4 Waterford Pick 'n Save
Location Transactional Adjustments Adjusted Sale Price Size (Acres) Sale Price/ Sq. Ft. Sale Date Years Between Sales	\$5,400,000 \$0 \$5,400,000 68,530 \$78.80 Oct-09	\$3,937,000 \$0 \$3,937,000 30,897 \$127.42 May-08	Location Transactional Adjustments (1) Adjusted Sale Price Size (Acres) Sale Price/ Sq. Ft. Sale Date Years Between Sales	\$5,400,000 \$0 \$5,400,000 68,530 \$78.80 Oct-09	\$3,800,000 \$190,000 \$3,990,000 42,856 \$93.10 Apr-08
Adjustments Overall Location Building Age/Condition Building Size Adjusted Sale Price/Sq. Ft. Indicated Downward Adjustment	\$78.80 -23%	-20% \$101.94	Adjustments Overall Location Building Age/Condition Building Size Adjusted Sale Price/Sq. Ft. Indicated Downward Adjustment (1) Comp 4 adjusted upward 5% for flat-ra	\$78.80 -19%	15% -10% \$97.76

Market Conditions

- Conclusions
 - Values have decreased
 - Ample market evidence exists
 - Lack of sales ≠ no market evidence

13

Temporary Limited Easement ("TLE") Revisited

- WI Statute, 32.09(6g)
 - "In the case of the taking of an <u>easement</u>, the compensation to be paid by the condemnor shall be determined by deducting from the fair market value of the whole property immediately before the date of evaluation, the fair market value of the remainder immediately after the date of evaluation, assuming the completion of the public improvement ..."
 - What does this mean for the appraiser?
 - Diminution in value using the before & after technique

TLE's

- Shortcut method
 - Appropriate for relatively nominal amounts
 - Keeps appraisal time/fee down
 - Rental comparables
 - Rent factor

15

TLE Shortcut Method

Diminution in Value, TLE Taking (Short Cut Method)

 TLE Area - Sq. Ft.
 6,500

 Value per Sq. Ft.
 \$3.08

 Annual Land Rental Factor
 8.5%

 Annual Land Rent
 \$1,702

 TLE Lease Term
 1 year

 Diminution in Value, TLE
 \$1,702

 Rounded
 \$1,700

Re	ntal	Factor
Rent	Facto	r Calculation

Market Rent Less: Vacancy @ Effective Gross Income	\$1,700 0% \$0 \$1,700	0.085 Rent Factor 0.085 Rent Factor
Operating Expenses Real Estate Taxes Net Operating Income	-\$400 \$1,300	owner pays 0.065 Cap Rate
Cap Rate, Land Indicated Value	÷ 6.5% \$20,000	Rate of Return
Land Area, Sq. Ft. Value, \$/Sq. Ft. Indicated Value Real Estate Tax Rate	6,500 \$3.08 \$20,000 2.0%	

17

TLE Rent Factor Considerations

- Length of land lease comps vs. length of TLE
- Rights acquired in a TLE vs. land lease

TLE Term Length

	Land Le	ase Term Analysis
US Treasu	ry Bonds	
Maturity	Yield	
3 Month	0.13%	
6 Month	0.20%	
1 Year	0.48%	
2 Year	0.75%	
3 Year	1.20%	
5 Year	2.02%	
10 Year	3.23%	Land Lease Rent Factor
30 Year	4.10%	8.50% Spread 4.40%
		1 Year Bond + 0.48%
	Rent Fac	tor, 1 Year Land Lease 4.88%
	Rent Fac	tor, 3 Year Land Lease 5.60%

19

TLE Rights Acquired

- Bundle of Rights
 - Use
 - surface, subsurface, air
 - exclusive use vs. shared use
 - Lease
 - Sell
 - Mortgage
 - Give it away

TLE Rights Impacted vs. Rights Retained

Land Lease	TLE
Use Surface, subsurface & air rights	Use • Surface (typically)
• Exclusive use	 Shared use

TLE Rent Factor

- Surface rights vs. surface, subsurface & air
 - -5%,-10% or -50%?
- Shared use vs. exclusive use
 - -10, -25% or -50%
- Rent factor of 8.5% adjusted down:
 - 1-year TLE term = rent factor of 5.0%
 - Surface rights -5%
 - Shared use -50%
 - = -55% or adjusted rent factor of 2.25%

TLE Conclusions

- What is the impact on the rights of ownership or the bundle of rights
 - sell, lease, use, mortgage, gift
- The appraiser should use <u>sound reasoning</u> and <u>logic</u> to determine what percentage of the total ownership interest is affected (WisDOT, Real Estate Program Manual)

23

Assessed Value of Improvements ≠ Value

Issue: H&BU is for demolition of improvements

Problem: Improvements at Equalized Value

Real Estate Assessment Summary

Assessed Value Equalized Tax Parcel # Land Improvements Total \$ \$ 700,000 2,200,000 2,200,000 -001 1,500,000

Property Value, Before Taking

Land Value if Vacant \$2,500,000 -\$500,000 Less: Demolition Costs \$2,000,000 Land Value, As-Is

Add: Equalized Value of Improvements \$1,500,000 Concluded Value, Before Taking \$3,500,000

Versus:

Land Value, As-Is \$2,000,000

25

Improvements with **Extraordinary Assumption**

Value, Before Taking (with Extraordinary Assumption)

Land Value if Vacant \$2,500,000 Less: Demolition Costs -\$500,000 \$2,000,000 Land Value, As-Is

Add: Improvements Not Impacted

(Extraordinary Assumption)

Concluded Value, Before Taking

\$2,000,000

Extraordinary Assumption Language

There are no improvements to be taken as part of the planned acquisition nor are the improvements negatively impacted. The contributory value of the improvements is the same both before and after the planned taking as the taking does not impact the existing improvements. Therefore, this appraisal consists only of a land valuation analysis with the contributory value of the improvements intentionally omitted from the before and after conclusions; we have not independently appraised the subject improvements. This appraisal is conditioned upon the extraordinary assumption that the omission of the improvements' contributory value both before and after the taking does not impact the total loss in market value.

2

Mandatory Appraiser Licensing, Update

- Assembly Bill 472; Senate Bill 339
- Passed in Assembly with WRA amendment
 - Allows "Broker Market Analysis" for:
 - Establish listing price or to set a purchase price
 - Establish a value for purposes other than a real estate transaction or mortgage
- Stalled in Senate
- It will come back
- Need to pass Mandatory Licensing
 - without broad BMA's

Mandatory Appraiser Licensing, Update

- Currently, appraiser does not have to be licensed/certified for:
 - Condemnation
 - Tax appeal
 - Any non-federally related transaction
- But if licensed or certified, the appraiser must follow USPAP including de minimis levels
 - DRL Rule RL 81.05

29

Mandatory Appraiser Licensing, Update

- RL 81.05, DRL Real Estate Appraisers Code Book: Scope of Appraisal Practice
 - Except as permitted by state or federal law, licensed and certified appraisers are authorized to conduct appraisals in conjunction with <u>federally related and</u> <u>non-federally related transactions</u> as follows:
 - Certified General without regard to transaction value
 - Certified Residential
 - Residential: without regard to transaction value
 - Commercial: transaction value of not more than \$250,000
 - Licensed Appraiser
 - Complex 1- to- 4-unit residential TV of not more than \$250,000
 - Non-complex 1- to- 4-unit residential TV of not more than \$1,000,000
 - Commercial transaction value of not more than \$250,000

Dept. of Regulation & Licensing

- DRL is looking for:
 - 2 CGA members of Application Review Committee
 - Review appraisers for Real Estate Appraisers Board
 - Paid for each USPAP Standard 3 review

31

Thank you!!